## Bayes' Theorem

Aka, Bayes' Law or Bayes' Rule

Recall we derived this formula in the **Probability Multiple Selections Lecture** as we were looking into the proof of the Multiplication Rule for Probability.

Baye's Theorem

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

This opens the door to a type of probability study known as **Bayesian Inference** which is very useful in determining conditional probabilities when we do not have a table of values to consider. The idea behind Bayes' Law is that we are measuring "a degree of belief" in a proposition A before and after accounting for the evidence called B. We have the following definitions.

**Def** P(A) is known as the **Prior Probability** which represent the initial belief.

**Def** P(A|B) is known as the **Posterior Probability** having accounted for the condition B.

The ratio  $\frac{P(B|A)}{P(B)}$  represents the **support B provides A**.

The condition B is assumed to be "fixed" and the event A is allowed to vary do that we can determine "a degree of belief" which is a probability.

We have an alternative form for **Baye's Theorem** that requires some Mathematical proof as well.

## Alternative Form $P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B|A)P(A) + P(B|\overline{A})P(\overline{A})}$

This proof relies on the statement that  $P(B) = P(B|A)P(A) + P(B|\overline{A})P(\overline{A})$  and we start by considering a **Venn Diagram**.



We can observe that the circle B colored in blue is the union of region I and region II. We can represent this as  $B = R_I \cup R_{II} = (B \cap A) \cup (B \cap \overline{A})$  and determine the probability of B.

$$P(B) = P((B \cap A) \cup (B \cap \overline{A}))$$
$$= P(B \cap A) + P(B \cap \overline{A}) - P(Both)$$
$$= P(B \cap A) + P(B \cap \overline{A})$$

Region I and region II are mutually exclusive (no overlap) so P(Both) = 0 and

$$P(B) = P(B \cap A) + P(B \cap \overline{A})$$
$$= P(B|A)P(A) + P(B|\overline{A})P(\overline{A})$$

which provides us with a key relationship for the alternative form.

 $P(B) = P(B|A)P(A) + P(B|\overline{A})P(\overline{A})$ 

This is how we can start to use **Bayes' Theorem** in the most famous settings of false positives and false negatives.

## Cat Allergy?

Carmen is feeling itchy. There is a test for people who may be allergic to cats, however the test is not always right.

• People who really **do** have the **allergy**, the test indicates yes (test +) 80% of the time.

$$P(test + |allergy) = 0.8$$

• People who **do not** have the **allergy**, the tests says yes (test +) 10% of the time, a false positive.

$$P(test + | not allergic) = 0.10$$

We know 1% of the population has the allergy. If Carmen's test says yes (test +), what is the chance that Carmen really has the allergy?

The additional information is P(allergy) = 0.01 and the question being asked is P(allergic|test +)?

To answer I would like to illustrate a tree diagram.



But, we need to fill in the three given values.



P(test + |allergy) = 0.8

Now, using the **Complement Rule for Probability** we can obtain the other missing values.





Follow the braches and obtain the remaining probabilities

With this information and Bayes' Theorem, we can now obtain answer the question.

.

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

$$P(allergic|test +) = \frac{P(test + |allergic)P(allergic)}{P(test +)}$$

$$=\frac{0.8\cdot0.01}{P(test+)}$$

But, P(test +) = P(test + |a)P(a) + P(test + |not a)P(not a)

$$= 0.8 \cdot 0.1 + 0.1 \cdot 0.99$$

Since,  $P(B) = P(B|A)P(A) + P(B|\overline{A})P(\overline{A})$  as we determined above.

We can now finally answer the question.

$$P(allergic|test +) = \frac{P(test + |allergic)P(allergic)}{P(test +)}$$
$$= \frac{0.8 \cdot 0.01}{P(test +)}$$
$$= \frac{0.8 \cdot 0.01}{0.179}$$

 $\approx \, 0.045 \,$  or  $\, 4.5\%$  false positive <code>rate</code>

Generally speaking, Bayes' Theorem is applied by considering a tree diagram.

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$
  
and  
$$P(B) = P(B|A)P(A) + P(B|\overline{A})P(\overline{A})$$





$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B|A)P(A) + P(B|\overline{A})P(\overline{A})}$$

In terms of **Diagnostic Testing** we can apply **Bayes' Theorem** which involves a few specific definitions.



This requires knowledge of a prior probability and then involves new information to update it. Here the **prior probability** is the doctor's belief whether the patient is infected or not. **The test result is used as information which changes the doctor's belief.** 

**Prior Probability** *P*(*infected*) Initial probability of infection based on the doctor's judgement.

**Posterior Probability** P(infected | test +)The reliability of a positive result.

**Posterior Probability** P(not infected | test -)The reliability of a negative result.

Bayes Theorem connects the doctor's initial belief with his/hers final belief.

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

$$P(infected|test +) = \frac{P(test + |infected)P(infected)}{P(test +)}$$

and the denominator  $P(B) = P(B|A)P(A) + P(B|\overline{A})P(\overline{A})$  is expressed

$$P(test +) = P(test + |inf)P(inf) + P(test + |not inf)P(not inf)$$

Together in the alternate form we have the following.

P(inf|test +)

$$= \frac{P(test + |inf)P(inf)}{P(test + |inf)P(inf) + P(test + |not inf)P(not inf)}$$

This gives rise to two unknown probabilities.

P(test + |inf) which should be high as the person is infected.

P(test + | not inf) which should be low as non-infected patients should be testing negative.

**Fact-** These two probabilities are actually measures of a **tests reliability** and are expressed as its **sensitivity** and **specificity**.

**Def- Sensitivity**  $S_n = P(test + |inf)$ 

- Probability of a positive test result given infection which should be close to 100%
- The test is "sensitive" to the presence of the Corona virus (COVID-19). If the Corona Virus is present, the test will detect it.

Def-Specificity  $S_p = P(test - |not inf)$ 

- Probability of a negative result given no infection which should be close to 100%
- The test is "specific" to the Corona Virus (COVID-19). If there is no Corona virus infection, the test will not detect anything and return a negative result.